UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

LAKE CHARLES DIVISION

VLADIMIR KONDAOUROV : DOCKET NO. 2:06-cv-176

Section P

VS. : JUDGE TRIMBLE

DIRECTOR, IMMIGRATION & : MAGISTRATE JUDGE WILSON

CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, ET AL.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Currently before the court is a "Motion to Dismiss" [doc. 11] filed on behalf of the

respondents in the above-captioned habeas corpus matter. This matter has been referred to the

undersigned magistrate judge for review, report, and recommendation in accordance with 28 U.S.C.

§636(b)(1)(B).

This petition for writ of habeas corpus was filed by pro se petitioner, Vladimir Kondaourov,

to challenge his continued detention in post-removal-order custody. In the petition, he states that he

has been in post-removal-order detention for more than six months and that there is no significant

likelihood of removal in the reasonably foreseeable future.

In light of the facts presented by the petitioner, the court ordered the government to respond

to the petition. In response to the petition, the government filed the Motion to Dismiss which is

currently before the court, arguing that this matter should be dismissed as moot. In support of this

motion, the government presents documentation which establishes that the petitioner was released

from post-removal-order detention to an Order of Supervision on May 26, 2006. See Government

Exhibit A.

Because the summary judgment type evidence before the court establishes that petitioner is no longer is custody, the court finds that his challenge to his post-removal-order detention is now moot and should be dismissed.

For this reason,

IT IS RECOMMENDED that the "Motion to Dismiss" [doc. 11] be GRANTED and that this petition be DENIED AND DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as moot.

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(C), the parties have ten (10) business days from receipt of this Report and Recommendation to file any objections with the Clerk of Court. Timely objections will be considered by the district judge prior to a final ruling.

FAILURE TO FILE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT WITHIN TEN (10) BUSINESS DAYS FROM THE DATE OF ITS SERVICE SHALL BAR AN AGGRIEVED PARTY FROM ATTACKING ON APPEAL, EXCEPT UPON GROUNDS OF PLAIN ERROR, THE UNOBJECTED-TO PROPOSED FACTUAL FINDINGS AND LEGAL CONCLUSIONS ACCEPTED BY THE DISTRICT COURT.

THUS DONE AND SIGNED in Chambers at Lake Charles, Louisiana, June 21, 2006.

ALØNZO P. WILSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE